



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

09 JAN 2006

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

Corporate Patent Office  
Phillips Electronics North America Corporation  
P.O. Box 3001  
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

In re Application of :  
VAN DER SHAAR et al. :  
U.S. Application no.: 10/506,342 :  
PCT No.: PCT/IB03/00789 :  
Int. Filing Date: 04 March 2003 :  
Priority Date: 05 March 2002 :  
Attorney Docket No.: NL021301 :  
For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR  
ENCODING FRACTIONAL BITPLANES

DECISION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6), filed 25 July 2005, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) for the benefit of a prior-filed provisional application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

The present nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and the claim herein for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed nonprovisional applications is submitted after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii). Therefore, this is a proper petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6).

A petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and must be filed during the pendency of the nonprovisional application. In addition, the petition must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(i) to the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The present pending nonprovisional applications were filed on March 05, 2002 and December 17, 2002, within twelve months of the filing date of the prior-filed provisional

application, Application No. 10/506,342, which was filed on 04 March 2003, and for which priority is claimed. A reference to the prior-filed provisional application has been included in an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title.

However, the amendment is not acceptable as drafted since it improperly incorporates by reference the prior-filed application. Petitioner's attention is directed to Dart Industries v. Banner, 636 F.2d 684, 207 USPQ 273 (C.A.D.C. 1980), where the court drew a distinction between a permissible 35 U.S.C. § 120 statement and the impermissible introduction of new matter by way of incorporation by reference in a 35 U.S.C. § 120 statement. The court specifically stated:

Section 120 merely provides a mechanism whereby an application becomes entitled to benefit of the filing date of an earlier application disclosing the same subject matter. Common subject matter must be disclosed, in both applications, either specifically or by an express incorporation by reference of prior disclosed subject matter. Nothing in section 120 itself operates to carry forward any disclosure from an earlier application. In re deSeversky, *supra* at 674, 177 USPQ at 146-147. Section 120 contains no magical disclosure-augmenting powers able to pierce new matter barriers. It cannot, therefore, "limit" the absolute and express prohibition against new matter contained in section 251.

In order for the incorporation by reference statement to be effective as a proper safeguard against the omission of a portion of a prior application, the incorporation by reference statement must be included in the specification as-filed, or in an amendment specifically referred to in an oath or declaration executing the application. *See In re deSeversky, supra*. *Note also* MPEP 201.06(c).

Accordingly, before the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) can be granted, a substitute amendment<sup>1</sup> deleting the incorporation by reference statement, along with a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6), is required.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:                      Mail Stop PCT  
                                    Commissioner for Patents  
                                    Post Office Box 1450  
                                    Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

---

<sup>1</sup> *Note* 37 CFR 1.121

By hand: Customer Service Window  
Mail Stop PCT  
Randolph Building  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax: (571) 273-8300  
ATTN: Office of PCT Legal Administration

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to Anthony Smith at 571-272-3298.



Anthony Smith  
Attorney Advisor  
Office of PCT Legal Administration  
Telephone: 571-272-3298  
Fax: 571-273-0459



Boris Milef  
PCT Legal Examiner  
Office of PCT Legal Administration